IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 7TH DECEMBER 2007 / 16TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 36351 of 2007(C)
---

PETITIONER:
---

1. SHIBU.A.MATHEW, S/O.A.Y.MATHAI, AGED 38 YEARS,
H.S.A.(PHYSICAL SCIENCE), P.H.S.S PANDALLUR, KADAMBODE.P.O.
MAJERI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
RESIDING AT:ALUMCHUVATTIL,MOOTHEDAM.P.O., MALAPPURAM
DISTRICT.

2. JULEE.C.V., W/O.REJI JOSEPH, AGED 31 YEARS,
H.S.A.(PHYSICAL SCIENCE),
P.H.S.S. PANDALLUR, KADAMBODE.P.O.,
MANJERI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
RESIDING AT: CHETTAKKATTIL, PUTHUPPADI.P.O., KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SMT.LATHA PRABHAKARAN
SRI.K.M.JAMALUDHEEN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REP.BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURM.

3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, MALAPPURAM.

4. THE MANAGER, P.H.S.S., PANDALLUR, PANDALLUR.P.O.,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.36351 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 7th day of December, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners' grievance in this writ petition is that by Ext.P1 review petition the manager of the School where the petitioners are working seeks to review an earlier order passed by the Director of Public Instruction, which is in favour of the petitioners. In the above circumstances, the petitioner filed Ext.P2 before the Director of Public Instruction. The petitioners submit that the Director of Public Instruction, despite having no jurisdiction to deal with Ext.P1, is likely to consider the same without even hearing petitioners and without considering Ext.P2.

2. I have heard the learned Government Pleader also. In the facts and circumstances case, I do not find it necessary to issue notice to the 4th respondent at present. Suffice it to direct the 2nd respondent to hear the petitioners also while deciding Ext.P1 review petition and to consider Ext.P2 petition filed by the petitioner along with Ext.P1. Ordered accordingly.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

jp